

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,480	BRUNDAGE ET AL.
	Examiner Dangelino N. Gortayo	Art Unit 2168

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Jerome Schaefer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*current rejection of record*

Claims discussed:

*all independent claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The 101 eligibility of claims 5, 8, 15, and 16 were discussed, and amendments were made to claims 5 and 15 to include hardware component, while claims 8 and 16 were shown to be executed by hardware..